

**Sixty-Seventh Annual  
National Moot Court Competition**

**Clarifications to the Record on Appeal**

The below clarifications are included in the Amended Record on Appeal for the Sixty-Seventh Annual National Moot Court Competition. The Amended Record supersedes, in all respects, the prior version of the Record on Appeal. For purposes of briefing and oral argument, competitors and judges should refer to the Amended Record simply as the Record.

In issuing these clarifications to the Record, the Committee considered all requests for clarification submitted by competitors. Requests for clarification that were redundant or addressed matters that the Committee wished to leave as originally drafted are not addressed in the Clarifications below or in the Amended Record. The Committee reminds competitors that, including the changes noted below, the Record is complete and accurate in all respects regarding the events that led to this appearance before the United States Supreme Court.

1. Page numbers in the Facts are replaced with romanettes and page numbering in the entire Record is sequential, restarting once at the first Opinion Below.
2. On page ii: The third sentence in the third full paragraph now reads: “The State of Tiantic provides a tax credit for use taxes owed on an item equal to the amount of sales or use taxes already paid on that item by the user of that item.” A footnote accompanies this sentence which reads: “The tax credit allowed for an item is limited to the tax imposed by Tiantic on that item.”
3. On page v: The following was stricken from the end of the second full paragraph and the beginning of the third full paragraph: “He copied this folder too and hurried out of the office”; and “At home.”
4. On page v: The following was added to the end of the third full paragraph: “Willow saved the “FMEA” folder onto his USB drive and also placed a copy of the folder in his Dropbox.”
5. On page vi: The following sentence replaces the first two sentences in the first paragraph: “After leaving the office, Willow contacted Jessica and demanded that Rocket Inc. stop its animal experimentation.”
6. On page 1: The following footnote previously at the end of the third sentence of the first full paragraph has been shifted to be after “counts” in the same sentence: “Rocket Inc. and Jessica Rocket represented to the court that they had waived any potential conflicts of interest relating to legal representation.”
7. On page 1: The following footnote was added to the end of the third sentence of the first full paragraph: “The Tiantic Rules of Civil Procedure are identical to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.”
8. On page 3: The following footnote was added following the fourth sentence of the last full paragraph: “The parties have stipulated to the fact that Rocket Inc. has no property or personnel in Tiantic.”